

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,070	02/12/2002	Rajesh Kumar Varma	GLS-021 6677	
7590 07/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Alfred D. Lobo, Esq.,			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
LOBO & CO., L.P.A. 933 The Leader Bulding			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
526 Superior Avenue			1711	
Cleveland, OH 44114-1401			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\bigcap	7		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
A duinama A atiom	10/074,070	VARMA, RAJESH KUMAR			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_		
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aversinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date		to the first of the deleter to	_		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	n		
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) M they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: see attachmnt.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): see attachment.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been conse a attachment.	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>all</u> .		•			
Claim(s) objected to:					

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit: 1711

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: claim 1 contains an extraneously introduced dash; amendment to "table 1" is actually to table II.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,070

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's arguments filed 17 and 28 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' are reminded that none of their after FINAL amendments have been entered since amendments are not entered in part and applicants after FINAL amendments contain errors in amending both the specification and claims. Therefore applicants should amend the specification and claims as they stood officially of record as of the date of the FINAL Office action. Unfortunately amendments are not entered in part and hence applicants after FINAL amendments have not been entered at all since they contain errors, namely an extraneous dash in claim 1 and an amendment to "Table 1" on page 23 when Table 2 was intended.

Applicant's drawings 1, 3 and 4 will be amended by examiner's amendment as "prior art" at allowance. Applicants should notify the Office if they believe any other drawings are prior art.

Applicant's declaration has not been considered since one is illegible and the other is unsigned.

The art rejection has been withdrawn since Baranowski does not teach or suggest applicant's polyisobutenes.

Applicant's remarks regarding the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph are most since this rejection is hereby withdrawn.

The objection to the specification as containing new matter is maintained.

Applicants may submit a signed declaration which is legible. Applicants should also point out why those skilled in the art would view applicant's amendment to table 2 as

Application/Control Number: 10/074,070

Art Unit: 1711

what was intended in addition to arguing why those skilled in the art would view Table 2 as containing errors.

Applicants should amend the first line of the specification to recite that benefit of provisional application 60/268,461 is claimed since the words "filed subsequent to" do not imply that priority is claimed to this application.

JCM

7-6-04

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

